

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,409	04/14/2005	Avtar Singh Chagger	2017-100US	8247
25881 EPSTEIN DRA	7590 11/29/200 ANGEL BAZERMAN		EXAM	INER
60 EAST 42ND STREET			NGUYEN, DUC M	
SUITE 820 NEW YORK, I	NY 10165		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,409	CHAGGER, AVTAR SINGH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc M. Nguyen	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AF	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this col BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•	• •
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • •	

10/531,409 Art Unit: 2618

ź

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims **1-9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims **1, 6-9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Aallos** (WO **00/25503**).

10/531,409 Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim **1, Aallos** discloses an apparatus suitable for connecting a communications device or other audio/audio-visual device to an audio system, the apparatus comprising

- a cassette-type audio adaptor having receiver means for receiving audio and/or data signals transmitted from said device or **the like** (see Fig. 1 and pages 1-3)and
- connecting means connecting said receiver means to a head of the cassettetype audio adaptor thereby to apply said signals to said head (see Fig. 1 and pages 1-3).

Regarding claims **6-9**, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein **Aallos** would teach features as claimed (see Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Aallos.

Regarding claim **2**, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, since the use of a Bluetooth chipset for wireless communication is

Art Unit: 2618

well known in the art as admitted by Applicant, and since Aallos also suggests the use

Page 4

of wireless communication in place of a cable connection (see page 2, lines 1-3), it

would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify Aallos for utilizing a Bluetooth chipset as a means for wireless communication as

well, for utilizing advantages of wireless communication such as eliminating a cable.

Regarding claim 3, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim

2 above. In addition, it is clear that Aallos as modified would obviously teach the

Bluetooth chipset includes transmission means for transmitting audio signals back to

said device as electromagnetic signals as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim

2 above. In addition, it is clear that Aallos as modified would obviously teach a

microphone connected to said transmission means for detecting audio signals from a

user and applying them to said transmission means (see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 5, the claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim

2 above. In addition, it is clear that Aallos as modified would obviously teach a cassette-

type adaptor comprising a microphone, a Bluetooth chipse, a transmitter means and a

receiver means as claimed (see Fig. 1 and page 3).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

See the attached PTO-892.

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for **formal** communications intended for entry)

(571)-273-7893 (for informal or **draft** communications).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7893, Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Or to Nay Muang (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (571) 272-7882.

Duc M. Nguyen, P.E.

Nov 18, 2007